

Role of the Bacteria Population inside the Mouth

Sirwan Ahmed Rashid, Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Science, Cihan University, Erbil, Iraq

Email: sirwan_94@yahoo.com, Mobile: +9647507623504,

Sawsan Muhammed Sorchee, Education College, Salahaddin University, Erbil, Iraq.

Email: Sawsan_sorchee@yahoo.com; Mobile: +9647504126341.

ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6676-1318>.

Correspondence author: Sawsan Muhammed Sorchee, Education College, Salahaddin University, Erbil, Iraq. ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6676-1318>.

Email: Sawsan_sorchee@yahoo.com; Mobile: +9647504126341.

Received: 28/05/2021 Accepted: 19/07/2021 Published: August 2021

Abstract

For tens of millions of years, our resident microbes have coevolved and coexisted with us in an in most cases harmonious symbiotic relation. Human aren't distinct entities from community microbiome, however collectively society forms a 'superorganism' or holobiont, with the microbiome performing a considerable function in our physiology and health. The mouth homes the second maximum various microbial community within the human body, harboring over seven hundred species of microorganism that colonies the tough surfaces of tooth and the smooth tissues of the oral mucosa. Via current advances in technology, we have begun to resolve the complexities of the oral microbiome and received new insights into its function throughout each health and sickness. Perturbations of the oral microbiome via modern now-day lifestyles will have unfavorable outcomes for our standard and oral health. In dysbiosis, the finely-tuned equilibrium of the oral surroundings is disrupted, permitting sickness-promoting microorganism to show up and purpose situations including caries, gingivitis and periodontitis. For practitioners and sufferers alike, promoting a balanced microbiome is consequently critical to efficaciously preserve or repair oral health. The human oral cavity harbors various consortiums of microorganisms which has a complicated relation with host health and sickness. Dental caries as an example have multi-species aetiologies and evaluation of the mechanisms which underlie the situation is complex through the huge part of the oral microbiota which continues to be uncharacterized. Microorganisms from the oral cavity had been proven to purpose some of oral infectious sicknesses.

Keywords: Oral hygiene, oral bacteria, oral microbiota, mouth, dental caries.

1. Introduction

The human oral cavity is a various environment with tough and smooth tissues comprising a complete place of 215 cm² bathed in saliva [1]. The oral surroundings are therefore most excellent for microorganisms to develop as biofilms, comparable of their structure and characteristics to biofilms in nature [2]. Unique to oral biofilms is the capability of sessile oral bacterial cells to tolerate quick-term abundance of outside nutrient supply and to withstand nutrient restraint [3]. Nutrient disadvantaged biofilm cells after reactivation had been proven to show off low reactivity in vitro; suggesting that slower reactivation of those cells is probably a survival strategy [4]. Bacterial cells in oral biofilms have interaction via diverse identified approaches such as co-aggregation [5], metabolic change, cellular-cell conversation [6], and trade of genetic material [7]. Of the extra than 10¹⁴ cells of which make the human body, most effective approximately 10% are mammalian. The final cells are

the microorganisms that make the commensal microbiota of the host. The composition of this microbiota varies at distinct habitats, however is pretty constant at every separate area in the person. In wholesome human topics saliva carries more or less than 10^8 bacterial cells/ml and bacterial attention of the gingival crevice exceeds 10^{11} microorganism/ml [8]. According with those excessive numbers of microorganism, among 500 and one thousand species of microorganism may be discovered within the oral cavity [9,10]. Those various microorganisms may be divided into 2 classes primarily based on their prevalence within the host; normal (resident) and transient [11].

2. Anatomy and physiology of human mouth.

Inside the oral hollow space incorporates of tough surfaces consisting of the tooth and the smooth surfaces of the oral mucosa, which consist of the tongue, cheek plate and tonsils [12]. The oral mucosa dominates the surface or floor of the mouth, representing approximately 80% of a complete surface location of about 2 hundred cm^2 [13]. The cheeks compose the lateral aspects of the human mouth and at the doorway to the oral cavity they lead to fleshy folds referred to as the lips (labia) that are protected at the outdoor through skin. The tough and smooth palates make up the roof of the mouth and are composed of bone and muscle respectively. The tough palate splits the oral hollow space from the nasal hollow space, while the smooth palate separates the oropharynx and nasopharynx. A muscular system called the uvula hangs from the smooth palate.

The oral hollow space floor is fashioned through the tongue that's a muscular shape. The actions of the tongue power mastication and the formation of the bolus, and propel the bolus to the again of the mouth for swallowing [13]. The dorsal surface and sides of the tongue are protected with papillae, which encompass foliate, filliform, fungiform and circumvallate papilla, and the lingual and platine tonsils. Tooth is mineralised systems which erupt into the oral hollow space from sockets inside alveolar bone. The gingivae (gums) cover the top areas of alveolar bone and surround the tooth to create a seal that stops microbial invasion into the underlining tissues [13]. The gingiva forms a collar around the tooth called the gingival sulcus which, while healthful, extends no extra than 2mm [14].

The gingivae are protected via a stratified, squamous, keratinised epithelium which merges with the non-keratinised "sulcular" epithelium within the gingival crevice. Gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) is serum-like exudates from the gingival crevice that constantly enters the oral hollow space [13]. The teeth itself includes 4 tissues; tooth enamel, dentine, cementum and pulp. The crown of the teeth composed of tooth enamel that is the maximum richly mineralized tissue within the body, containing 96% inorganic substances [14]. The mineral composition is composed specially of hydroxyapatite and fluorapatite [13]. The essential organic components of tooth enamel are participants of two families of proteins: amelogenins (90%) and enamelins (10%) [13], beneath the tooth enamel layer is dentine. Dentine additionally has an excessive mineral content material (70% minerals, commonly within the form of hydroxyapatite, 30% water and organic matrix) and makes up the majority of the teeth. Dentine is supported via matrix proteins including collagen, osteopontin, and acidic glycoprotein-seventy five [13]. Cementum is a totally comparable element, composed of hydroxyapatite (60%), organic material (23%) and water (17%), the principle feature that is to offer attachment factors among the teeth and the periodontal ligament [13]. Under the dentine layer is pulp. Pulp is a smooth tissue and isn't mineralised, rather being made from collagen fibrils and other kind of proteins and proteoglycans. The pulp additionally consists of nerve fibers and blood vessels which give sensory innervations, nutrients, and a method of metabolite disposal to the teeth [14]. Those vessels and nerves are linked to the rest of the circulatory, lymphatic, and nervous structures through the basis canal that runs from the pulp cavity via every root of the teeth. All teeth might also have one or extra roots [13].

3. Bacterial diversity of the human oral mouth.

It's far now properly known that the oral hollow space helps one of the richest and maximum numerous of all of the microbial groups that thrive at the human body, second most effective to the decrease gastrointestinal tract [15]. One of the critical determinants of this variety is the uncommon tissue kinds that exist within the mouth; tooth is the most effective instance in the human body of a tough tissue being naturally exposed to the outside surroundings. Moreover, tooth isn't shed or became over within the way of smooth tissues/epithelia. Consequently, the oral microbiota has developed mechanisms to take advantage of environments that aren't skilled via different microbiota [16]. The structure of tooth and their juxtaposition with different tooth and helping smooth tissues offer diverse niches which might be exploited via the microbiome. An overgrowth of microbiome parts yields dental plaque that is typically related to oral illnesses which includes caries and periodontal diseases. The oral microbiota incorporates of microorganism, fungi, archaea and viruses. Maximum studies to date has centered at the bacterial element of the microbiota [17, 18]. Even though exploration of different additives which includes viruses and fungi were stated [19,20].

4. Determination of the human oral microbiome.

The oral microbiome lives in a described habitat composed of physical and chemical factors. This composition of the microbiome is encouraged through modifications to its habitat and hence its composition fluctuates in reaction to version within the physical and chemical traits of the mouth. But this relation isn't unidirectional, as the metabolism of the microbial community can exchange the physical and chemical characteristic of the surrounding environment [21]. The regulatory forces affecting the oral environment may be categorized into 4 main classes: physiochemical, host-related, microorganism-related (microbial related factors), and outside elements (environmental elements) [22].

Connection with microbes starts off evolved in utero and keeps for the duration of birth and early infancy [23]. The mode of shipping influences the composition of the intestinal and oral microbiota in babies. Babies get their first microorganism bacteria from the delivery canal of the mom when brought vaginally. Babies introduced through Caesarean section (C-section) are exposed to microorganism bacteria originating from the hospital surroundings and health-care employees. Research have proven that there's a distinction in intestinal microbial composition among babies brought vaginally or through C-section [24,25]. Babies brought via C-section have a much less numerous microbial compositions and less health-promoting strains of lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria within the intestine, in comparison to vaginally born babies [26]. additionally the oral microbiota is much less numerous in babies brought through C-section [27], and within the oral microbial profile, popular oral streptococci and lactobacilli are extra common in babies brought vaginally in comparison with the ones brought by C-section [28]. Mode of delivery impacts additionally *Streptococcus mutans* colonization, and evidently babies brought by C-section accumulate *S. mutans* eleven months earlier than babies brought vaginally [29]. Colonization also is stimulated through clinical, cultural and different environmental elements including diet, familial surroundings, sicknesses and remedies [30]. Within the oral hollow space, the primary bacterial colonization takes location on oral surfaces which includes the mucosal surfaces of lips, cheeks, palate and tongue. [31]. Confirmed that *mutans streptococci* and lactobacilli can colonize anabases' oral hollow space even earlier than the eruption of the primary teeth. The primary streptococci to colonize the babies' oral hollow space consist of *S. oralis*, *S. mitis* and *S. salivarius* [32,33]. The mom is taken into consideration to be the fundamental source of babies' *mutans streptococci* [34]. After teeth eruption, the oral microbiota modifications and *S. sanguinis* and *S. mutans* colonize the oral hollow space [35].

The tooth offers the bacteria with an excellent colonization habitat due to the fact they form a non-losing surface. Microorganisms that don't adhere to oral surfaces are washed away with saliva. There had been observed that a wide variety of species, which includes *S. mutans* and putative periodontal pathogens, may be detected in oral samples from kids below three years old. *S. mutans* and the periodontal pathogens *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Bacteroides forsythus* (now referred to as *Tannerella forsythia*) have been detected even within the youngest subjects [36]. Species detection from teeth and tongue samples became incredibly related, with maximum species detected extra regularly from tongue than teeth samples within the more youthful kids, suggesting that the tongue serves as a reservoir for teeth-related species. In adults, about seven hundred bacterial species were detected within the oral hollow space [37]. There may be a unique, primary bacterial microflora of the wholesome oral hollow space this is pretty various and placement and subject particular. maximum location harbor 20–30 unique major species, and the wide variety of species varies from 34 to 70 in an person mouth [37]. Mager confirmed that during healthful subjects, the proportions of forty oral bacterial species substantially vary on exceptional intraoral surfaces. Additionally they located that the microbiota of the smooth tissues have been greater similar to every apart from the microbiota of supragingival and subgingival plaques [38].

5. The resident microbiota of the oral cavity

The oral hollow space is constituted of colonisable surfaces which include cheek, tongue and teeth surfaces. Every surface probably helps compositionally distinct biofilms. numerous researchers have investigated the composition of oral microbiotas of various parts of mouth and their function within the improvement of oral sicknesses (in particular periodontal disease and dental caries) are properly documented [39,40]. A current research regarding a huge cohort from extraordinary geographic places, has stated significant variability within the composition of the oral microbiota inside and between people and detected 39 bacterial genera which had now not been formerly recognized from the human oral hollow space [41]. A properly-cited research of the oral microbiota stated the presence of 500 species within the oral hollow space through 16s rRNA gene evaluation, in contrast to beneath 2 hundred (beneath 40%) of indigenous oral microbiota were formerly been diagnosed via culture [10]. As stated that the indigenous oral microbiota of various locations including tongue, cheek, smooth palate, dental plaque comprised over seven-hundred distinct species; of those 60% have now not been formerly detected in human oral hollow space. A few species are precise to certain tissues while others may be detected at maximum areas. The major bacterial microbiota of the wholesome oral hollow space is pretty various and placement and subject-unique [37].

a. Gram-positive cocci

Streptococci predominate within the oral microbiota and are normally remote from all regions of the oral hollow space. Oral streptococci had been differentiated into 4 major species organizations on the basis of easy biochemical and physiological exams, collectively with DNA sequence evaluation. those are i) the mutans group (which includes *S. mutans* and *S. sobrinus*) that are related to dental plaque and caries [42], ii) the mitis group (consists of *S. mitis*, *S. sanguis*, *S. crista* and *S. oralis*) that are additionally observed specifically in plaque [43], iii) the salivarius group (*S. salivarius* and *S. vestibularis*) that have been related to mucosal surfaces [37]. And iv) the anginosus group (comprising *S. anginosus*, *S. constellatus* and *S. intermedius*) which might be detected within the gingival crevice and the basis canal and can be related to periodontal and pulpal sickness [44]. The oral streptococci have interaction immediately with host tissues and are essential as number one colonisers of the tooth and mucosal surfaces [45]. A glycoprotein referred to as “agglutinin” from human saliva mediates the adherence and colonisation of *Streptococcus mutan* to teeth surfaces [46].

Because of their acidogenic, aciduric and are acidophilic residences, consequently, the colonisation of the tooth with *Streptococcus mutans* is a prime element within the aetiology of dental caries [47]. There may be a tough relation among caries and *S. mutans* in any respect lesion depths [48]. Staphylococci aren't typically remote in an excellent numbers from the mouth, they have got but been remote in low numbers from wholesome saliva [49] and the oral hollow space has been cautioned as a clinically applicable reservoir of staphylococci [50]. Moreover, staphylococci may be remote from the mouths of a selection of affected person groups, including the immunocompromised, and people with oral infections or dentures [50]. Enterococci were recovered in low numbers from numerous oral locations and the maximum regularly remote species is *Enterococcus faecalis*. Enterococci are sometimes recovered from crammed root canal tooth [51]. Moreover, enterococcus may be remote from the subgingival plaque of immunocompromised sufferers such in HIV sufferers with periodontitis [52] and supragingival plaque from haemodialysis sufferers [53]. But, up to now, their function within the wholesome mouth stays not clear. Peptostreptococci spp. (anaerobic streptococci) had been recognized in carious lesions, inflamed root canals, periodontal disease and dental abscesses [54].

b. Gram-negative cocci

Neisseria and *veillonella* are the generally the primary representatives of the Gram-negative cocci within the oral hollow space. *Neisseria* are one of the major colonisers on teeth surface and capnophilic saccharolytic microorganism bacteria and typically related to a wholesome oral hollow space [37]. They had been remote from diverse surfaces within the mouth, even though they're generally found in low numbers [12]. *N. subflava* is the maximum commonplace oral commensal species; they could develop properly aerobically and intake oxygen which contributes to the anaerobic microenvironments observed within the later levels of plaque improvement [55]. They also can produce polysaccharides from starch metabolism which contributes to matrix formation and improvement which may additionally make contributions to the aetiology of dental caries [56]. *N. mucosa*, *N. sicca* and *N. flavescens* have additionally recovered from healthful human oral surfaces i.e. the dorsum of tongue, the gingival crevice, and the coronal surfaces of tooth [57]. Moreover, a research of Japanese human beings observed that the switch of genetic material from oral *N. subflava* can be concerned within the emergence of *N. gonorrhoeae* lines with the intermediate or total resistance to penicillin [58]. *Moraxella* (that is a short rod, no longer truly a coccus) (initially called *branhamella*) is a commensal from the top respiration tract however regularly *M. catharrhalis* is opportunistically pathogenic [59]; and typically remote from the oral hollow space [60]. The *veillonellae* are strictly anaerobic and usually arise as diplococci or in chains. They had been remote from saliva and dental plaque; and seem like the primary anaerobes [61]. *Veillonella* is a member of the resident oral microbiota and observed in the oral hollow space ubiquitously which includes the tongue, buccal mucosa and teeth surface i.e. *V. parvula*, *V. dispar*, and *V. atypica* [62]. Whilst, *V. denticariosi* is located in carious lesions [62]. *Veillonellae* are not able to ferment sugars. They utilise through-many products of others bacterial fermentation including lactate and pyruvate for power and energy [60]. The tough coaggregation interactions among *Veillonella* and a selection of oral microorganism bacteria, which includes *S. sanguis*, *A. israelii* and *A. viscosus* were found, contributing to the improvement of dental plaque [63]. *Veillonellae* metabolise lactic acid produced via other different species to formation propionic and acetic acids which might be very weak acids; consequently *Veillonellae* were detected in excessive proportions in deep dentinal caries [48]. This proof shows that the coaggregation of *Veillonellae* with other different oral microorganism bacteria performs a crucial function within the bacterial ecology of the oral hollow space [63]. The *veillonellae* have consequently, been known as probably essential

within the formation of mature dental plaque and within the established order of community metabolism [13].

c. Gram-positive rods

Gram-positive rods and filaments are usually being isolated from the oral hollow space. Actinomyces species are anaerobic filamentous microorganism bacteria and structure a big part of the oral microbiota in dental plaque [64], especially at approximal locations and the gingival crevice [43,65]. Much like oral streptococci, they're regularly early colonisers of the teeth surfaces [66]; consequently they're notion to be great within the improvement of bacterial variety inside the oral surroundings. Adhesion to oral mucosa of Actinomyces is thru kind 1 and a pair of fimbriae which can bind to a glycoprotein of epithelial cells [67]. Seven species of Actinomyces which includes Actinomyces naeslundii, A. georgiae, A. gerencseriae, A. israelii, A. viscosus, A. odontolyticus and A. meyeri had been automatically recovered from the human oral hollow space [68]. Actinomyces gerencseriae and different species also are related to the preliminary level of caries, and are recommended to be the initiator of caries improvement [48]. A. naeslundii and A. viscosus are anaerobic and acidogenic and were related to the dissolution of teeth; the number one occasion (initiation) in dental caries; and feature additionally detected in dentine caries [48]. A. israelii is a predominantly aciduric bacterium which has been recognized because the aetiological agent of actinomycosis even as different actinomycetes including Actinomyces odontolyticus had been implicated within the improvement of root external face caries [69] and gingivitis; while A. naeslundii, A. israelii and A. viscosus were specifically isolation from the gingival crevice of gingivitis sufferers [70]. Lactobacilli are commonly recovered from the oral hollow space; they generally incorporate lone c. 1% of the whole cultivable microbiota [71]. But, their proportions and incidence will increase in superior caries lesions each on teeth and root surfaces [60]. They have been the number 1 microorganism bacteria to be recognized as aetiological factors of dental caries. With recognize to acid tolerance and their acidogenicity in an acid surroundings; they're effective acidogens at low pH values in plaque which may also result in enamel external surface demineralisation [72]. The maximum typically remote species are L. acidophilus, L. casei and L. fermentum [60]. They're markedly acid tolerant and acidogenic. They're usually remote from the aciduric milieu (pH=4.8) [69] and were definitely correlated with caries occurrence and severity [73]. The genus eubacterium are non-sporing anaerobic bacilli, many lines of that are hard to isolate by using cultivation [74]; they're consequently now not properly described (species consisting of E. saburreum, E. sulci and E. yurii subsp. Yurii) [75]. Many Eubacterium species were recovered from subgingival plaque and had been predominantly remote from superior periodontitis e.g. E. timidum, E. alactolyticum and E. nodatum [76] while E. timidum and E. brachy are not unusual in dentoalveolar abscess [77].

Several species of propionibacterium had been stated from the human oral hollow space, which includes Propionibacterium acnes, P. freudenreichii and P. jensenii (in dental plaque) [60]. This bacterium releases propionic and acetic acids as principal end-products of glucose fermentation [78]. P. proprionicus has been recognized in inflamed root canals and periapical lesions of tooth with refractory apical periodontitis [79]. With appreciate to the coryneforms, Corynebacterium (previously Bacterionema) matruchotii is the only species thus far which has been continuously related to the resident microbiota of the oral hollow space [60]. Corynebacterium matruchotii is a Gram positive bacillus with lengthy filaments and are short, thick terminal ends. C. matruchotii, which has been studied in linking to dental calculus formation through deposition of extracellular calcium [80]. Rothiadicariosa and R. mucilaginosa were typically remote from the mouth. R. dentocariosa is remote from dental plaque and has been on occasion related to instances of infective endocarditis [81]. R.

mucilaginosus has been recognized from a healthful tongue dorsum [82]. Bifidobacterium, one of noticeably dominant parts of the human intestinal microbiota [83] is a member of the ordinary microbiota within the human mouth [84] which includes the gingival crevice, saliva and dental plaque [78] in which the dental plaque is their reservoir [85]. They're saccharolytic, generating acetic and lactic acids because the main end products of glucose fermentation [84] and that they may be remote from human carious dentine. Bifidobacterium dentium is an opportunistic cariogenic pathogen because of colonisation and endurance elements implicated in tissue adhesion, acid tolerance and the metabolism of human saliva-derived compounds [86]. Bifidobacterium inopinatum and Bifidobacterium denticolens also are recovered from human dental caries [84].

d. Spirochaetes

Spirochaetes are Gram negative, helical, tightly coiled strict anaerobes and may be observed inside the oral hollow space of human beings generally belonging to the genus Treponema. Spirochaetes inhabit the gingival crevice and interproximal regions [87]. Those encompass *T. denticola*, *T. macrodentium*, *T. microdentium*, *T. oralis*, *T. socranskii* and *T. vincentii* [88]. They're in particular common inside the subgingivae of sufferers with oral sickness which includes gingivitis [89], periodontal sickness [90] and endodontic infections [91]. because they're intensely fastidious, they're hard to culture and thus recognition and additionally extra microbiotypes are depending on molecular recognition strategies based totally on 16s rRNA evaluation [92]. Some of virulence elements had been defined consisting of trypsin-like proteases, adhesins and a haemolysin [93]. *T. denticola* has obtained great interest for its function as a periodontal pathogen, especially in affiliation with *P. gingivalis* and *T. forsythensis* [87]. *T. denticola* and *P. gingivalis* are coaggregating companions, demonstrating cross-feeding in vitro [94] and had been detected in near proximity to every other inside periodontal pockets [95]. *T. denticola* and *P. gingivalis* had been postulated to have an interdependent relation in the subgingiva which may also play a critical function within the pathogenicity of periodontal sickness [96].

6. The role of oral microbiome in oral disease.

In a healthful oral hollow space an ecological stability exists among the host and the several indigenous microorganisms [97]. But, microorganism bacteria that stay within the dental plaque biofilm are usually believed to be chargeable for the 2 best familiar oral sicknesses, dental caries and periodontitis [98]. Those oral illnesses are the greatest conventional sicknesses within the globe [99], affecting every age and geographic populations. Consequently, analyzing the microbial colonies chargeable for healthful state and sickness activation and development is crucial for resist human and oral illnesses [100].

a. Biofilm formation

The name 'biofilm' is used to explain groups of microorganisms connected to a surface material, and microbial colony related to enamel is known as dental plaque. Dental plaque is a shape of biofilm determined obviously on well-being; however it's also related to dental caries and periodontal sickness. Dental plaque is made through an ordered series of activities, ensuing in a structurally and functionally prepared species-loaded microbial biofilm [101]. Biofilm formation has distinctive levels. First, the conditioning coat (the obtained pellicle) is build right now after teeth eruption or cleansing. Then, susceptible, large-variety, physico-chemical interactions shape among the microbial exterior and the conditioning coat, producing in a reversible adhesion, which leads in the end to irreversible adhesion among the adhesins at the microbial cellular external face and the receptors exist inside the obtained pellicle. After the early colonizers have connected to the conditioning coat, secondary and later colonizers begin co-adhesion to already connected early colonizers, which ends up in a raise in microbial variety inside the growing biofilm. Multiplication of the connected cells

results in confluent raise and a 3-dimensional, spatially and functionally prepared, combined-cultivation biofilm, which additionally has a complicated extracellular matrix made of, as an example, soluble and insoluble glucans, fructans and heteropolymers. The matrix makes a big contribution to the recognised structural integrity and regular resistance of biofilms. After the mature and pretty strong biofilm has made, effective detachment can happen, allowing cells to colonize someplace else [102]. The name ‘coaggregation’ is used if the binding among microorganism bacteria happens in suspension. If the adherence of microbial cells happens in already connected microorganism bacteria in a biofilm, the name used is ‘cohesion’. The mechanisms at the back of coaggregation and cohesion are presumed to be same [103]. The dominant preliminary colonizers consist of streptococci including *S. gordonii*, *S. mitis*, *S. oralis* and *S. sanguinis* however additionally genus Actinomyces. Fucobacterium nucleatum is important within the formation of biofilm due to the fact it is able to coaggregate with preliminary, early and delayed colonizers and it acts as a bridge among organisms in developing dental plaque [103]. Plaque microorganism bacteria have broader habitat scope, greater effective metabolism, elevated tolerance to inhibitory factors and host defenses, and improved virulence while within the structure of a biofilm [101]. In well-being, there's a symbiosis among oral microbiota and the host. The composition of the oral microbiota is affected by means of temperature, pH, nutriment in addition to host genetics and defenses. Whilst the changes happen in oral environmental situations, the stability among the host and the oral microbiota can shift and end in an elevated threat of sickness [104].

b. Dental Caries

Dental caries, additionally called teeth decay, cavities, or caries, is a breakdown of tooth because of actions of microorganism bacteria [105]. The cavities can be some of exclusive colorations from yellow to black [106]. Signs and symptoms might also encompass ache and problems with ingesting complexity might also comprise infection of the tissue across the teeth, teeth loss and diseases or abscess formation. The reason of caries is bacterial breakdown of the tough tissues of the enamel (teeth, dentin and cemented). This happens because of acid created of meals particles or sugar at the teeth external face. Easy sugars in meals are those microorganism bacteria's basically strength origin and therefore a dietary excessive in plain sugar is a threat element. If mineral breakdown is more than building up from sources including saliva, caries outcomes. Hazard elements consist of situations that end in much less saliva which includes: diabetes mellitus, Sjogren syndrome and a few medicines. Medicines that lower saliva creation encompass antihistamines and antidepressants amongst others. Caries is likewise related to poverty, bad cleansing of the mouth, and receding gums ensuing in expose of the roots of the tooth [105,107].

7. Conclusion

The human oral cavity or oral hollow space can carry or contains a large number of many different and special types of microorganism bacteria which can be found in the oral cavity habitats, the habitats include the tooth, gingival sulcus, tongue, cheeks, tough and smooth palates, and tonsils, these habitats are colonized through microorganism bacteria. The oral microbiome is composed of over six hundred prevalent and well known taxa on the species level, with distinct subsets predominating at different special habitats. The oral microbiome has been notably characterised through cultivation and culture-independent molecular strategies and methods which include 16S rRNA cloning. The microorganisms observed within the human oral cavity or oral hollow space was known as the oral microflora, oral microbiota, or more currently known as an oral microbiome. The word microbiome was coined through Joshua Lederberg “to signify the ecological for the community of commensal, symbiotic, and pathogenic microorganisms that and then literally it can share our body place and had been all but left out as determinants of health and sickness

Microorganisms from the oral cavity have been proven to purpose some of oral infectious sicknesses, which includes caries (teeth decay), periodontitis (gum sickness), endodontic (root canal) infections, alveolar osteitis (dry socket), and tonsillitis. Proof is accumulating which connect oral microorganism bacteria to some of systemic diseases.

References

1. Collins LMC, Dawes C. The surface area of the adult human mouth and thickness of the salivary film covering the teeth and oral mucosa. *J Dental Research*, 1987;66(8): 1300-1302.
2. Auschill TM, Artweiler NB, Netuschil L, Brex M, Reich E, Sculean A. Spatial distribution of vital and dead microorganisms in dental biofilms. *Archives of Oral Biology*. 2001 May 1;46(5):471-6.
3. Carlsson J, Johansson T. Sugar and the production of bacteria in the human mouth. *Caries research*. 1973;7(4):273-82.
4. de Paz LE, Hamilton IR, Svensäter G. Oral bacteria in biofilms exhibit slow reactivation from nutrient deprivation. *Microbiology*. 2008 Jul 1;154(7):1927-38.
5. Kolenbrander PE, Palmer Jr RJ, Rickard AH, Jakubovics NS, Chalmers NI, Diaz PI. Bacterial interactions and successions during plaque development. *Periodontology* 2000. 2006 Oct;42(1):47-79.
6. Li YH, Tang N, Aspiras MB, Lau PC, Lee JH, Ellen RP, Cvitkovitch DG. A quorum-sensing signaling system essential for genetic competence in *Streptococcus mutans* is involved in biofilm formation. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2002 May 15;184(10):2699-708.
7. Roberts AP, Cheah G, Ready D, Pratten J, Wilson M, Mullany P. Transfer of Tn 916-like elements in microcosm dental plaques. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 2001 Oct 1;45(10):2943-6.
8. Evaldson G, Heimdahl A, Kager L, Nord CE. The normal human anaerobic microflora. *Scand J Infect Dis Suppl*. 1982 Jan 1;35(1):9-15.
9. Newman HN, Wilson M. Dental plaque revisited: oral biofilms in health and diseases. Bioline, Cardiff, United Kingdom. 1999 Nov 3.
10. Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Sahasrabudhe A, Dewhirst FE. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2001 Jun 15;183(12):3770-83.
11. Loesche WJ. Ecology of the oral flora. *Oral microbiology and immunology*, 2nd ed. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, Pa. 1994:307-19.
12. Zaura E, Keijsers BJ, Huse SM, Crielaard W. Defining the healthy "core microbiome" of oral microbial communities. *BMC microbiology*. 2009 Dec;9(1):1-2.
13. Wilson M. *Microbial inhabitants of humans: their ecology and role in health and disease*. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
14. Wilson M. *Microbial inhabitants of humans: their ecology and role in health and disease*. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
15. Peterson J, Garges S, Giovanni M, McInnes P, Wang L, Schloss JA, Bonazzi V, McEwen JE, Wetterstrand KA, Deal C, Baker CC. The NIH human microbiome project. *Genome research*. 2009 Dec 1;19(12):2317-23.
16. Avila M, Ojcius DM, Yilmaz Ö. The oral microbiota: living with a permanent guest. *DNA and cell biology*. 2009 Aug 1;28(8):405-11.
17. Allison DG. *Community structure and co-operation in biofilms*. Cambridge University Press; 2000 Oct 23.
18. Marsh PD. Dental plaque: biological significance of a biofilm and community life- style. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2005 Oct;32:7-15.

19. Ghannoum MA, Jurevic RJ, Mukherjee PK, Cui F, Sikaroodi M, Naqvi A, Gillevet PM. Characterization of the oral fungal microbiome (mycobiome) in healthy individuals. *PLoS pathogens*. 2010 Jan 8;6(1):e1000713.
20. Wylie KM, Mihindukulasuriya KA, Zhou Y, Sodergren E, Storch GA, Weinstock GM. Metagenomic analysis of double-stranded DNA viruses in healthy adults. *BMC biology*. 2014 Dec;12(1):1-0.
21. Pflughoeft KJ, Versalovic J. Human microbiome in health and disease. *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease*. 2012 Feb 28;7:99-122.
22. Marcotte H, Lavoie MC. Oral microbial ecology and the role of salivary immunoglobulin A. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews*. 1998 Mar 1;62(1):71-109.
23. Rautava S, Luoto R, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Microbial contact during pregnancy, intestinal colonization and human disease. *Nature reviews Gastroenterology & hepatology*. 2012 Oct;9(10):565-76.
24. Biasucci G, Benenati B, Morelli L, Bessi E, Boehm G. Cesarean delivery may affect the early biodiversity of intestinal bacteria. *The Journal of nutrition*. 2008 Sep 1;138(9):1796S-800S.
25. Adlerberth I, Wold AE. Establishment of the gut microbiota in Western infants. *Acta paediatrica*. 2009 Feb;98(2):229-38.
26. Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, van den Brandt PA, Stobberingh EE. Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics*. 2006 Aug 1;118(2):511-21.
27. LifHolgerson P, Harnevik L, Hernell O, Tanner AC, Johansson I. Mode of birth delivery affects oral microbiota in infants. *Journal of dental research*. 2011 Oct;90(10):1183-8.
28. NelunBarfod M, Magnusson K, Lexner MO, Blomqvist S, Dahlen G, Twetman S. Oral microflora in infants delivered vaginally and by caesarean section. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*. 2011 Nov;21(6):401-6.
29. Li Y, Caufield PW, Dasanayake AP, Wiener HW, Vermund SH. Mode of delivery and other maternal factors influence the acquisition of *Streptococcus mutans* in infants. *Journal of dental research*. 2005 Sep;84(9):806-11.
30. Matamoros S, Gras-Leguen C, Le Vacon F, Potel G, de La Cochetiere MF. Development of intestinal microbiota in infants and its impact on health. *Trends in microbiology*. 2013 Apr 1;21(4):167-73.
31. Plonka KA, Pukallus ML, Barnett AG, Walsh LJ, Holcombe TH, Seow WK. *Mutans streptococci* and *lactobacilli* colonization in pre-dentate children from the neonatal period to seven months of age. *Caries research*. 2012;46(3):213-20.
32. Taubman, M.A. and Smith, D.J., 2015. Mucosal vaccines for dental diseases. In *Mucosal Immunology* (pp. 1363-1389). Academic Press.
33. Pearce C, Bowden GH, Evans M, Fitzsimmons SP, Johnson J, Sheridan MJ, Wientzen R, Cole MF. Identification of pioneer viridans streptococci in the oral cavity of human neonates. *Journal of medical microbiology*. 1995 Jan 1;42(1):67-72.
34. Li Y, Caufield PW. The fidelity of initial acquisition of *mutans streptococci* by infants from their mothers. *Journal of dental research*. 1995 Feb;74(2):681-5.
35. Caufield PW, Cutter GR, Dasanayake AP. Initial acquisition of *mutans streptococci* by infants: evidence for a discrete window of infectivity. *Journal of dental research*. 1993 Jan;72(1):37-45.
36. Tanner AC, Milgrom PM, Kent Jr R, Mokeem SA, Page RC, Riedy CA, Weinstein P, Bruss J. The microbiota of young children from tooth and tongue samples. *Journal of dental research*. 2002 Jan;81(1):53-7.

37. Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, Olsen I, Dewhirst FE. Defining the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2005 Nov;43(11):5721-32.
38. Mager DL, Ximenez- Fyvie LA, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Distribution of selected bacterial species on intraoral surfaces. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2003 Jul;30(7):644-54.
39. Mayanagi G, Sato T, Shimauchi H, Takahashi N. Microflora profiling of subgingival and supragingival plaque of healthy and periodontitis subjects by nested PCR. In *International Congress Series 2005 Sep 1 (Vol. 1284, pp. 195-196)*. Elsevier.
40. Ledder RG, Gilbert P, Huws SA, Aarons L, Ashley MP, Hull PS, McBain AJ. Molecular analysis of the subgingival microbiota in health and disease. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2007 Jan 15;73(2):516-23.
41. Nasidze I, Li J, Quinque D, Tang K, Stoneking M. Global diversity in the human salivary microbiome. *Genome research*. 2009 Apr 1;19(4):636-43.
42. Okada M, Soda Y, Hayashi F, Doi T, Suzuki J, Miura K, Kozai K. PCR detection of *Streptococcus mutans* and *S. sobrinus* in dental plaque samples from Japanese pre-school children. *Journal of medical microbiology*. 2002 May 1;51(5):443-7.
43. Tamura K, Nakano K, Hayashibara T, Nomura R, Fujita K, Shintani S, Ooshima T. Distribution of 10 periodontal bacteria in saliva samples from Japanese children and their mothers. *Archives of oral biology*. 2006 May 1;51(5):371-7.
44. Standar K, Kreikemeyer B, Redanz S, Mütter WL, Laue M, Podbielski A. Setup of an in vitro test system for basic studies on biofilm behavior of mixed-species cultures with dental and periodontal pathogens. *PLoS One*. 2010 Oct 1;5(10):e13135.
45. Klein MI, Flório FM, Pereira AC, Höfling JF, Gonçalves RB. Longitudinal study of transmission, diversity, and stability of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* genotypes in Brazilian nursery children. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2004 Oct;42(10):4620-6.
46. Carlen A, Bratt P, Stenudd C, Olsson J, Strömberg N. Agglutinin and acidic proline-rich protein receptor patterns may modulate bacterial adherence and colonization on tooth surfaces. *Journal of dental research*. 1998 Jan;77(1):81-90.
47. Gibbons RJ, Hay DI. Adsorbed salivary acidic proline-rich proteins contribute to the adhesion of *Streptococcus mutans* JBP to apatitic surfaces. *Journal of dental research*. 1989 Sep;68(9):1303-7.
48. Becker MR, Paster BJ, Leys EJ, Moeschberger ML, Kenyon SG, Galvin JL, Boches SK, Dewhirst FE, Griffen AL. Molecular analysis of bacterial species associated with childhood caries. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2002 Mar;40(3):1001-9.
49. Percival RS, Challacombe SJ, Marsh PD. Age-related microbiological changes in the salivary and plaque microflora of healthy adults. *Journal of medical microbiology*. 1991 Jul 1;35(1):5-11.
50. Smith AJ, Brewer A, Kirkpatrick P, Jackson MS, Young J, Watson S, Thakker B. Staphylococcal species in the oral cavity from patients in a regional burns unit. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2003 Nov 1;55(3):184-9.
51. Figdor D, Sundqvist G. A big role for the very small—understanding the endodontic microbial flora. *Australian dental journal*. 2007 Mar;52:S38-51.
52. Zambon JJ, Reynolds HS, Genco RJ. Studies of the subgingival microflora in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *Journal of periodontology*. 1990 Nov;61(11):699-704.
53. Smyth CJ, Halpenny MK, Ballagh SJ. Carriage rates of enterococci in the dental plaque of haemodialysis patients in Dublin. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 1987 Feb 1;25(1):21-33.

54. Adib V, Spratt D, Ng YL, Gulabivala K. Cultivable microbial flora associated with persistent periapical disease and coronal leakage after root canal treatment: a preliminary study. *International endodontic journal*. 2004 Aug;37(8):542-51.
55. Bradshaw DJ, Marsh PD, Allison C, Schilling KM. Effect of oxygen, inoculum composition and flow rate on development of mixed-culture oral biofilms. *Microbiology*. 1996 Mar 1;142(3):623-9.
56. Parker RB, Creamer HR. Contribution of plaque polysaccharides to growth of cariogenic microorganisms. *Archives of oral biology*. 1971 Aug 1;16(8):855-62.
57. Almeida D, de Araujo WC. Occurrence of Veillonella and Neisseria in the niches of the human oral cavity. *Revistabrasileira de pesquisas medicas e biologicas*. 1979 Apr 1;12(1):41-6.
58. Furuya R, Onoye Y, Kanayama A, Saika T, Iyoda T, Tatewaki M, Matsuzaki K, Kobayashi I, Tanaka M. Antimicrobial resistance in clinical isolates of Neisseria subflava from the oral cavities of a Japanese population. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*. 2007 Oct;13(5):302-4.
59. Konno M, Baba S, Mikawa H, Hara K, Kohno S, Matsumoto F, Kaga K, Nishimura T, Kobayashi T, Furuya N, Morikawa A. Study of upper respiratory tract bacterial flora: first report. Variations in upper respiratory tract bacterial flora in patients with acute upper respiratory tract infection and healthy subjects and variations by subject age. *Journal of infection and chemotherapy*. 2006 Jan 1;12(2):83-96.
60. Walker JT, Bradshaw DJ, Bennett AM, Fulford MR, Martin MV, Marsh PD. Microbial biofilm formation and contamination of dental-unit water systems in general dental practice. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2000 Aug 1;66(8):3363-7.
61. Sutter VL. Anaerobes as normal oral flora. *Reviews of infectious diseases*. 1984 Mar 1;6(Supplement_1):S62-6.
62. Arif N, Sheehy EC, Do T, Beighton D. Diversity of Veillonella spp. from sound and carious sites in children. *Journal of dental research*. 2008 Mar;87(3):278-82.
63. Hughes CV, Kolenbrander PE, Andersen RN, Moore LV. Coaggregation properties of human oral Veillonella spp.: relationship to colonization site and oral ecology. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 1988 Aug;54(8):1957-63.
64. Gizani S, Papaioannou W, Haffajee AD, Kavvadia K, Quirynen M, Papagiannoulis L. Distribution of selected cariogenic bacteria in five different intra-oral habitats in young children. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*. 2009 May;19(3):193-200.
65. Ximénez-Fyvie LA, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Comparison of the microbiota of supra- and subgingival plaque in health and periodontitis. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2000 Sep;27(9):648-57.
66. Li J, Helmerhorst EJ, Leone CW, Troxler RF, Yaskell T, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Oppenheim FG. Identification of early microbial colonizers in human dental biofilm. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 2004 Dec;97(6):1311-8.
67. Liu T, Gibbons RJ, Hay DI, Skobe Z. Binding of Actinomyces viscosus to collagen: association with the type 1 fimbrial adhesin. *Oral microbiology and immunology*. 1991 Feb;6(1):1-5.
68. Sarkonen N, Könönen E, Summanen P, Kanervo A, Takala A, Jousimies-Somer H. Oral colonization with Actinomyces species in infants by two years of age. *Journal of dental research*. 2000 Mar;79(3):864-7.
69. Brailsford SR, Shah B, Simons D, Gilbert S, Clark D, Ines I, Adams SE, Allison C, Beighton D. The predominant aciduric microflora of root-caries lesions. *Journal of dental research*. 2001 Sep;80(9):1828-33.

70. SLOTS J, MÖENBO D, LANGEBAEK J, Frandsen A. Microbiota of gingivitis in man. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 1978 May;86(3):174-81.
71. Van Houte J, Aasenden R, Peebles TC. Lactobacilli in human dental plaque and saliva. *Journal of dental research*. 1981 Jan;60(1):2-5.
72. Van Houte J, Lopman J, Kent R. The predominant cultivable flora of sound and carious human root surfaces. *Journal of dental research*. 1994 Nov;73(11):1727-34.
73. Kanasi E, Johansson I, Lu SC, Kressin NR, Nunn ME, Kent Jr R, Tanner AC. Microbial risk markers for childhood caries in pediatricians' offices. *Journal of dental research*. 2010 Apr;89(4):378-83.
74. Downes J, Munson MA, Spratt DA, Kononen E, Tarkka E, Jousimies-Somer H, Wade WG. Characterisation of Eubacterium-like strains isolated from oral infections. *Journal of medical microbiology*. 2001 Nov 1;50(11):947-51.
75. Moore WE, Holdeman LV, Cato EP, Smibert RM, Burmeister JA, Ranney RR. Bacteriology of moderate (chronic) periodontitis in mature adult humans. *Infection and Immunity*. 1983 Nov;42(2):510-5.
76. Uematsu H, Hoshino E. Predominant obligate anaerobes in human periodontal pockets. *Journal of periodontal research*. 1992 Jan;27(1):15-9.
77. Wade WG, Spratt DA, Dymock D, Weightman AJ. Molecular detection of novel anaerobic species in dentoalveolar abscesses. *Clinical infectious diseases*. 1997 Sep 1;25(Supplement_2):S235-6.
78. Sanyal B, Russell C. Nonsporing, anaerobic, gram-positive rods in saliva and the gingival crevice of humans. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 1978 Apr;35(4):670-8.
79. Siqueira Jr JF, Rôças IN. Polymerase chain reaction detection of *Propionibacterium propionicus* and *Actinomyces radidentis* in primary and persistent endodontic infections. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*. 2003 Aug 1;96(2):215-22.
80. Ennever J, Creamer H. Microbiologic calcification: bone mineral and bacteria. *Calcified tissue research*. 1967 Dec;1(1):87-93.
81. Boudewijns M, Magerman K, Verhaegen J, Debrock G, Peetermans WE, Donkersloot P, Mewis A, Peeters V, Rummens JL, Cartuyvels R. Rothiadenocariosa, endocarditis and mycotic aneurysms: case report and review of the literature. *Clinical microbiology and infection*. 2003 Mar 1;9(3):222-9.
82. Kazor CE, Mitchell PM, Lee AM, Stokes LN, Loesche WJ, Dewhirst FE, Paster BJ. Diversity of bacterial populations on the tongue dorsa of patients with halitosis and healthy patients. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2003 Feb;41(2):558-63.
83. Ventura M, Canchaya C, Tauch A, Chandra G, Fitzgerald GF, Chater KF, van Sinderen D. Genomics of Actinobacteria: tracing the evolutionary history of an ancient phylum. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews*. 2007 Sep;71(3):495-548.
84. Crociani F, Biavati B, Alessandrini A, Chiarini C, Scardovi V. *Bifidobacterium inopinatum* sp. nov. and *Bifidobacterium denticolens* sp. nov., two new species isolated from human dental caries. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 1996 Apr 1;46(2):564-71.
85. Modesto M, Biavati B, Mattarelli P. Occurrence of the family Bifidobacteriaceae in human dental caries and plaque. *Caries research*. 2006;40(3):271-6.
86. Ventura M, Turrone F, Zomer A, Foroni E, Giubellini V, Bottacini F, Canchaya C, Claesson MJ, He F, Mantzourani M, Mulas L. The *Bifidobacterium dentium* Bd1 genome sequence reflects its genetic adaptation to the human oral cavity. *PLoS genetics*. 2009 Dec 24;5(12):e1000785.

87. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD, Cugini MA, Smith C, Kent Jr RL. Microbial complexes in subgingival plaque. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 1998 Feb;25(2):134-44.
88. Dewhirst FE, Tamer MA, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Paster BJ. The diversity of periodontal spirochetes by 16S rRNA analysis. *Oral microbiology and immunology*. 2000 Jun;15(3):196-202.
89. Pihlstrom BL, Michalowicz BS, Johnson NW. Periodontal diseases. *The lancet*. 2005 Nov 19;366(9499):1809-20.
90. Loesche WJ, Grossman NS. Periodontal disease as a specific, albeit chronic, infection: diagnosis and treatment. *Clinical microbiology reviews*. 2001 Oct 1;14(4):727-52.
91. Siqueira Jr JF, Rôças IN. Treponema species associated with abscesses of endodontic origin. *Oral microbiology and immunology*. 2004 Oct;19(5):336-9.
92. Rocas IN, Siqueira Jr JF. Occurrence of two newly named oral treponemes—*Treponema parvum* and *Treponema putidum*—in primary endodontic infections. *Oral microbiology and immunology*. 2005 Dec;20(6):372-5.
93. Holt SC, Ebersole JL. Porphyromonasgingivalis, Treponema denticola, and Tannerella forsythia: the 'red complex', a prototype polybacterial pathogenic consortium in periodontitis. *Periodontology 2000*. 2005 Jun;38(1):72-122.
94. Nilius AM, Spencer SC, Simonson LG. Stimulation of in vitro growth of Treponema denticola by extracellular growth factors produced by Porphyromonasgingivalis. *Journal of dental research*. 1993 Jun;72(6):1027-31.
95. Kigure T, Saito A, Seida K, Yamada S, Ishihara K, Okuda K. Distribution of Porphyromonasgingivalis and Treponema denticola in human subgingival plaque at different periodontal pocket depths examined by immunohistochemical methods. *Journal of periodontal research*. 1995 Sep;30(5):332-41.
96. Ellen RP, Galimanas VB. Spirochetes at the forefront of periodontal infections. *Periodontology 2000*. 2005 Jun;38(1):13-32.
97. Filoche S, Wong L, Sissons CH. Oral biofilms: emerging concepts in microbial ecology. *Journal of dental research*. 2010 Jan;89(1):8-18.
98. Papaioannou W, Gizani S, Haffajee AD, Quirynen M, Mamai-Homata E, Papagiannoulis L. The microbiota on different oral surfaces in healthy children. *Oral microbiology and immunology*. 2009 Jun;24(3):183-9.
99. Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *The Lancet*. 2007 Jan 6;369(9555):51-9.
100. Jenkinson HF, Lamont RJ. Oral microbial communities in sickness and in health. *Trends in microbiology*. 2005 Dec 1;13(12):589-95.
101. Marsh PD, Moter A, Devine DA. Dental plaque biofilms: communities, conflict and control. *Periodontology 2000*. 2011 Feb;55(1):16-35.
102. Marsh PD. Dental plaque as a microbial biofilm. *Caries research*. 2004;38(3):204-11.
103. Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ, Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. Oral multispecies biofilm development and the key role of cell-cell distance. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2010 Jul;8(7):471-80.
104. Marsh PD, Devine DA. How is the development of dental biofilms influenced by the host?. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2011 Mar;38:28-35.
105. Silk H. Diseases of the mouth. *Primary care*. 2014 Mar 1;41(1):75-90.
106. Duggal N, Bhayana G, Jaitly K, Chowalta R. Full mouth rehabilitation of the patient with severely worn dentition-A clinical report.
107. Schwendicke F, Dörfer CE, Schlattmann P, Page LF, Thomson WM, Paris S. Socioeconomic inequality and caries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of dental research*. 2015 Jan;94(1):10-8.